UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,142	07/15/2003	Karen Hargett Bean	KCC 4911 (K-C 17,719)	8474	
321 SENNIGER PO	7590 02/27/200 OWERS	7	EXAMINER		
ONE METROP	POLITAN SQUARE	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F			
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	· 3761		
	•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Advisory Action					
Before .	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

		eD
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/620,142	BEAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761	

	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for .
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 Soc attached Notice of Non-Co	ampliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Impliant Amendment	(1 102-02-).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	□ will not be entered, or b) □ will not be entered, or be wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			,
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.			
because: See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2/8/07</u>	- a	
13. Other:			151
		Jacqueline F Step Primary Examiner	hens

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/06 are partially persuasive. As to claim 20, Applicant repeats the arguments from 7/20/06 that Hsueh fails to disclose or suggest fibers of the absorbent member passing through openings in the reinforcing member and being entangled with other fibers of the absorbent member as recited in claim 20. Applicant's arguments regarding the polymer entanglement bonding are persuasive. However, the examiner did not rely solely on the teaching of polymer entanglement bonding to show physical bonding in the Office Action mailed 11/22/06, but provided other citations where Hsueh discussed physical bonding such as col. 22, lines 46-49 and where Hsueh discloses compaction of the layers to improve interconnection of the layers (col. 36, lines 7-16). Applicant did not address these teachings of Hsueh. Therefore, the rejection of claim 20 is maintained. As to claim 32, Applicant repeats the argument that Hsueh does not teach stretching of the reinforcement member and Hsueh does not teach the absorbent composite is gathered by a reinforcing member to form rugosities of the surface of the absorbent are stretched to form voids. This teaching is found in col. 41, line 5 through col. 42, line 27. As previously argued, Hsueh discloses the reinforcement member can comprise elastomeric fibers which characteristically have greater stretch than the materials used to make the absorbent member. The claim does not positively claim rugosities on the surface of the absorbent member but the actual structure that is claimed is the reinforcing member being connected to the absorbent member. The stretchable reinforcement member of Hsueh is capable of gathering the absorbent member and forming rugosities on the surface of the absorbent member.